
Students' Satisfaction Survey 2020-21

Students' Satisfaction Survey has been conducted completely on on-line mode. The feedback questionnaire as posted in our college website was filled-up accordingly by the 6th semester students of the college. Altogether 25 mcg type questions were set covering the 8 core aspects-

- i) Curriculum and teaching (Item no. 2,3,4,10)
- ii) Student-teacher interactions (Item no. 5,6,7,8)
- iii) Library facilities (Item no. 14,15)
- iv) Laboratory facilities (Item no. 11)
- v) Examination system (Item no. 9,13)
- vi) Functioning of the college office (Item no. 12,16,17,18,19)
- vii)Overall infrastructure of the college (Item no. 21,22,23,24,25)
- viii) Scope for extra-curricular activities (Item no. 20)



UID No- Semester-

- 1. Your Percentage attendance in the classes
- 4. More than 80%
- 3.60%-79%
- 2. 40-59%
- 1. Less than 40%
- 2. Number of teaching faculty in your department
- 4. Adequate
- 3. Satisfactory
- 2. Average
- 1. Below average
- 3. Percentage coverage of the syllabus
- 4. More than 80%
- 3. 60%-79%
- 2.40-59%
- 1. Less than 40%
- 4. Is the courses studied by you have enhanced your knowledge, skills and capabilities

- 4. Strongly Agree
- 3. Agree
- 2. Not Sure
- 1. Disagree

5. The teachers' approach to teaching

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

6. Use of interactive and computer assisted teaching

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

7. Motivation from the teachers

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

8. Student-Teacher Interaction

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

9. Overall experience with internal assessment

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

10. Opportunity to participate in activities beyond the classroom (Workshops/ Projects / Fieldwork)

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

11. Laboratory facilities of the department

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

(For non-lab subjects)

11. The teacher guides the students for overall personality development of the students

4. Strongly Agree

- 3. Agree
- 2. Not Sure
- 1 Disagree

12. Admission procedure of the College

- 4. Very transparent
- 3. Transparent
- 2. Not transparent
- 1. Vague

13. Examination system of the college

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

14. Physical infrastructure of the college Library

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

15. Support and assistance of the Library Staff

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

16. Assistance from the college office

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

17. Scholarship(s) available from the College

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

18. Grievance and redressal system

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

19. Digitization of overall system

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

20. Opportunities for extra-curricular activities (Sports, Cultural etc)

- 3. Excellent
- 2. Very good
- 1. Good
- 0. Poor

21. Physical infrastructure of the College

- 4. Adequate
- 3. Satisfactory
- 2. Average
- 1. Below average

22. Drinking water and health service facility

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

23. Condition of the Canteen

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

24. Condition of sanitary system

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

25. Cleanliness and maintenance of college premises

- 4. Excellent
- 3. Very good
- 2. Good
- 1. Poor

Analysis of students' feedback

The online survey was based on <u>four point scale grading system</u>. Pie charts were drawn individually for each of the 8 core aspects showing the relative percentage of each of the four responses.

4	3	2	1
Excellent/Adequate/	Very good/	Good/ Not sure/	Poor/ Disagree/
Strongly agree/	Agree/ 60-79%	40-59%	less than 40%
more than 80%			

No. of students responded: 590

